

Innovative resin-modified glass-ionomer cement for zirconia restorations: a case report

Roberto Sorrentino¹

Due to the increasing patients' demand for aesthetics and its optimal biomechanical and optical properties, zirconia is widely used in prosthodontics as a material of choice for indirect ceramic restorations¹⁻⁴. Recently, cubic translucent zirconia has been introduced in the market to improve the optical characteristics and reduce material ageing^{3,5,6}.

Due to the absence of any glassy matrix, zirconia is free from silica and, consequently, cannot be conditioned with conventional acid etching techniques^{1,7,8}. Several surface treatments were suggested in the literature but to date data are still controversial^{9,10}. On the basis of the physical-chemical properties of zirconia, in the presence of retentive preparation geometries and full coverage prostheses, conventional water-based luting agents (i.e. glass ionomer and zinc phosphate cements) and hybrid cements (i.e. resin-modified glass ionomer cements) should be considered the first choice materials for cementation^{9,11,12}.

Case history

A 43-year-old male patient treated and stabilised for a previous severe chronic periodontitis asked for the aesthetic rehabilitation of both dental arches, complaining about aesthetic as well as functional problems (Figs. 1-2). After achieving good occlusal stability and proper vertical dimension of occlusion by means of implant-supported metal-ceramics single crowns in the posterior regions, a careful evaluation of the maxillary front teeth was performed, in order to formulate a proper biomechanical and aesthetic treatment plan. Particularly, the patient presented with the following problem list: diastema, tooth wear, high caries activity, moderate staining, unsatisfactory composite restorations, altered interdental proportions, gingival recessions and moderate bone resorption (Fig. 3).



Figure 1: Extraoral pre-operative view.

¹ Prof. Roberto Sorrentino DDS, MSc, PhD Research Professor of Prosthodontics and Digital Dentistry at the University Federico II of Napoli.

Co-founder of the dental blog and community Zerodonto (www.zerodonto.com).



Figure 2: Intraoral pre-operative view.



Figure 3: Pre-operative detail of the maxillary front teeth.

Treatment

According to the patient's requests and taking the aesthetic needs and biomechanical drawbacks of the case (i.e. deep bite, long lever arms) into consideration, 6 cubic translucent zirconia single crowns were planned, in order to achieve a natural tooth-like appearance of the restorations and optimal mechanical resistance during function.

Minimally invasive vertical tooth preparations were performed on the maxillary front teeth, removing the previous composite restorations and secondary decays and keeping satisfactory total occlusal convergence. The prosthetic margins were iuxtagingivally placed and all the teeth were kept vital (Figs. 4-6). Temporary acrylic resin restorations were used for 3 weeks to allow the soft tissues to recover from preparation and impression procedures.

Subsequently, 6 cubic translucent zirconia single crowns were fabricated (Fig. 7). The buccal surfaces were layered with a dedicated veneering ceramics, so as to extol the aesthetic appearance, whereas the palatal functional aspects were left in the monolithic configuration and

glazed, in order to avoid any risk of chipping. Because of the excellent biocompatibility of zirconia, the prosthetic iuxtagingival margins were manually polished and left unglazed to promote the formation of an epithelial attachment and optimise the biological integration of the restorations.

The inner zirconia surface of each crown was conditioned with mild sandblasting using 110 µm alumina particles at 0.2 MPa. An innovative paste-paste resin-modified glass ionomer luting agent (FujiCEM Evolve) was used to cement the restorations (Fig. 8). As this type of luting agent does not require complete field isolation and allows to perform a conventional cementation procedure, PTFE tapes were used to protect the adjacent teeth (Fig. 9). After seating the restorations, cement gelification was achieved by means of light-curing; this passage is not mandatory but allows for a faster setting of the luting agent. Then, cement excess was removed with a urethane dimethacrylate curette, in order not to damage the glazed surface of the ceramic crowns (Fig. 10), and dental floss was used to clean the interproximal



Figure 4: Maxillary front teeth preparations for single crowns.



Figure 5: Detail of the right side tooth preparations.



Figure 6: Detail of the left side tooth preparations.



Figure 7: Layered cubic zirconia anterior single crowns. A: internal view; B: buccal view.



Figure 8: Maxillary central incisor zirconia crowns filled with resin-modified glass-ionomer cement.

spaces (Fig. 11). The same approach was used to cement the zirconia crowns onto lateral incisors (Fig. 12) and canines (Fig. 13). Finally, post-curing was performed after applying an oxygen barrier so as to achieve complete setting of the cement at marginal level (Fig. 14).

Thanks to the excellent biocompatibility of zirconia, to the precision of the prosthetic margins and to the optimal

performance of FujiCEM Evolve, 2 weeks after cementation the aesthetic and biological integration of the zirconia crowns was ideal, with good recovery of the gingival health and proper periodontal maturation (Figs. 15-17).

Due to economic reasons, the patient decided to have the severely worn and malpositioned mandibular front teeth (Fig. 18) restored with composite restorations. Consequently, the



Figure 9: PTFE- assisted cementation of the maxillary central incisors.



Figure 10: Cervical cement excess removal from central incisors.



Figure 11: Interproximal cement excess removal from central incisors.



Figure 12: PTFE- assisted cementation of the maxillary lateral incisors.



Figure 13: PTFE- assisted cementation of the maxillary canines.



Figure 14: Light-curing of the prosthetic margins of the zirconia crowns through the oxygen barrier.



Figure 15: 2-week soft tissues healing after cementation: front view of the cubic zirconia single crowns.



Figure 16: Post-operative right side detail of the cubic zirconia single crowns.



Figure 17: Post-operative left side detail of the cubic zirconia single crowns.



Figure 18: Pre-operative view of the mandibular front teeth.



Figure 19: Restoration of the mandibular front teeth by means of the composite injection technique with G-aenial Universal Flo.



Figure 20: Post-operative view of the mandibular front teeth restored with injected direct composites.



Figure 21: Post-operative view: layered cubic zirconia single crowns at the maxillary arch and injected direct composite restorations at the mandibular arch.



Figure 22: Functional occlusal check at the maxillary arch.



Figure 23: Functional occlusal check at the mandibular arch.

area was restored by means of direct restorations applied using the flowable composite (G-aenial Universal Flo) injection technique (Figs. 19-20).

Proper dynamic and occlusal functions were restored and carefully checked (Figs. 21-23). Moreover, the final outcome showed a good aesthetic restoration of the patient's smile line (Fig. 24).

Outcome

Different advantages were noticed using FujiCEM Evolve, like ease of use (the possibility to use the automixing dispenser makes cement application very slightly dependent on the operator's skill), moisture tolerance (ideal in the presence of iuxta- or sub-gingival margins and requiring no isolation) and versatility (suitable for different restorative materials).



Figure 24: Extraoral post-operative view.

Particularly, in the present case this luting agent was used to cement both zirconia crowns in anterior areas and metal-ceramics crowns onto posterior implants, showing the same flowability and easiness in cement excess removal, due to its user-friendly rubbery consistency, very useful to avoid the entanglement of any particle within the soft tissues.

Furthermore, no ceramic pre-treatment is mandatory before the application of the cement and the dual-curing technology allows for a faster setting using light-polymerisation.

Thanks to its innovative features, FujiCEM Evolve allowed to avoid any post-operative sensitivity and its radiopacity makes the identification of possible sub-gingival excess very easy.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank MDT Mr. Vincenzo Mutone for the dental laboratory support.

References

1. Zarone F, Russo S, Sorrentino R. From porcelain-fused-to-metal to zirconia: clinical and experimental considerations. *Dent Mater* 2011;27:83-96.
2. Fabbri G, Fradeani M, Dellificorelli G, et al. Clinical evaluation of the influence of connection type and restoration height on the reliability of zirconia abutments: A retrospective study on 965 abutments with a mean 6-year follow-up. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 2017;37:19-31.
3. Shahmiri R, Standard OC, Hart JN, Sorrell CC. Optical properties of zirconia ceramics for esthetic dental restorations: A systematic review. *J Prosthet Dent* 2018;119:36-46.
4. Zhang Y, Lawn BR. Evaluating dental zirconia. *Dent Mater*. 2019 Jan;35(1):15-23.
5. Camposilvan E., Leone R, Gremillard L, et al. Aging resistance, mechanical properties and translucency of different yttria-stabilized zirconia ceramics for monolithic dental crown applications. *Dent Mater* 2018;34:879-890.
6. Rodrigues CDS, Aurélio IL, Kaizer MDR, Zhang Y, May LG. Do thermal treatments affect the mechanical behavior of porcelain-veneered zirconia? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Dent Mater*. 2019 Mar 4. pii: S0109-5641(18)31467-2.xs.
7. Zarone F, Sorrentino R, Vaccaro F, et al. Acid etching surface treatment of feldspathic, alumina and zirconia ceramics: a micromorphological SEM analysis. *Int Dent South Afr* 2006;8:50-56. 274.
8. Maroulakos G, Thompson GA, Kontogiorgos ED. Effect of cement type on the clinical performance and complications of zirconia and lithium disilicate tooth-supported crowns: A systematic review. Report of the Committee on Research in Fixed Prosthodontics of the American Academy of Fixed Prosthodontics. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2019 Mar 15. pii: S0022-3913(18)30712-1. doi: 10.1016/j.prosdent.2018.10.011. [Epub ahead of print].
9. Pilo R, Dimitriadi M, Palaghia A, Eliades G. Effect of tribochemical treatments and silane reactivity on resin bonding to zirconia. *Dent Mater* 2018;34:306-316.
10. Schünemann FH, Galárraga-Vinueza ME, Magini R, Fredel M, Silva F, Souza JCM, Zhang Y, Henriques B. Zirconia surface modifications for implant dentistry. *Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl*. 2019;98:1294-1305.

Reprinted with permission from GC get connected.