BIO-ESTHETICS: giving a new face to smile enhancements

Bio esthetics is the quintessence of biology, biomechanics and esthetics and aims to more conservative, ethical solutions to a myriad of esthetic deficiencies.

Introduction
A more attractive smile, improved dental esthetics and durable results have been for long intimately linked to ceramic restorations such as veneers and crowns and remain strongly anchored in patients and dental professional minds. Modern composite resin technology has however challenged this assumption because they offer excellent aesthetic potential and acceptable longevity, with a much lower cost than equivalent ceramic restorations for the treatment of both anterior and posterior teeth.1-3 Moreover, composite restorations allow for minimally invasive preparations or no preparation at all when modifying existing tooth anatomy or assuming the replacement of decayed tissues; this constitutes an unparalleled advantage of “free-hand bonding” also due to its relative simplicity. This rationale has been the foundation of a new concept named “bio-aesthetics”, giving priority to additive, minimally or microinvasive procedures to preserve tooth biology and biomechanics.

While resin composites are universally considered the “standard of care” material for the filling of small to medium class III, IV and V cavities, they can be used today in many more indications such as the correction of small to moderate aesthetic and functional deficiencies2,3-4. Recent developments in composite optical properties and physical properties have also significantly contributed to simplifying their application and improving treatment outcome and...
This rationale has been the foundation of a new concept named ‘bio-aesthetics’, giving priority to additive, minimally or microinvasive procedures to preserve tooth biology and biomechanics.

The aim of this short article is then to demonstrate the potential and multiple applications of composite as a modern aesthetic restorative material in the context of bio-esthetic treatment approach.
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Table 1: Treatment decision process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Direct option</th>
<th>Indirect option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>age of the patient</td>
<td>younger</td>
<td>older</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>size of the decay</td>
<td>smaller</td>
<td>larger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tooth vitality</td>
<td>vital</td>
<td>non-vital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tooth colour</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>non-treatable discolouration*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>facial anatomy</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>altered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of restoration</td>
<td>unrelated</td>
<td>unrelated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*using chemical treatments (vital & non-vital bleaching or microabrosion)

Table 2: Modern progressive treatment concept and various types of procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of procedures</th>
<th>Typical procedures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non restorative</td>
<td>Esthetic chemical treatments (bleachings, micro-abrasion) Direct bonding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimally invasive</td>
<td>Direct bonding Ultra-thin Veneers Modern inlays and onlay techniques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro-invasive</td>
<td>Classical veneers, inlay and onlays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macro-invasive</td>
<td>Crowns and bridges</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Revisiting smile rehabilitation concepts:

Bio-esthetics

Choosing the right restorative approach (direct or indirect, composite or ceramics) has been debated over decades and finally, the decision largely depends on the practitioner’s own education background and experience with each of the aforementioned options. Only “extreme” conditions such as minor aesthetic form and color corrections or extensive decays in non-vital teeth, lead to evident solutions (direct and respectively indirect restorations), while the majority of other cases lie in a “gray zone” which actually makes a pertinent choice more intricate. A simple yet effective approach to this dilemma relies on a sound bio-mechanical analysis of the teeth potentially involved in the treatment status, combined to the usual functional and aesthetic analysis. Then, having as a prime objective the respect of tooth biology and conservation guides clinician to a logical decisional tree, such as presented in table 1.

The “Bio-esthetic” philosophy actually give priority to chemical color improvements (vital bleaching, non-vital bleaching, micro-abrasion), associated to direct composite restorations and bonded ceramic restorations for more extensive decays, limiting the use of traditional full crowns to existing restoration replacement and a few conditions of extreme tooth fragilization. The progressive treatment concept presented in table 2 then summarizes the modern vision of esthetic restorative dentistry.
New shading approach: the natural layering concept
To achieve perfect direct restorations has been for long and hypothetical aim due to the imperfect optical properties of many composite resins systems. So far, the over-simplification (mono-incremental) as well as over-complexity
Figure 3a: Preoperative views of a young patient showing enamel hypocalcifications and asymmetrical tooth forms.

Figure 3b and 3c: Shade selection is performed using a special dual-laminate shade guide which grants color predictability (inspiro).

Figure 3d and 3e: A partial mockup (teeth #11 & #12) is made to assess the impact of planned restorations on the smile configuration.
deficient composite optical properties with intricate layering concepts. The use of the natural tooth as a model and the identification of respective dentine and enamel optical characteristics (tristimulus L*a*b* colour measurements and contrast ratio) has then been a landmark in developing better direct tooth coloured (multi-incremental) of shading systems has tremendously limited the benefit of direct composite restorations. Even today, the complexity of some systems is often associated to shading concepts mimicking ceramic systems (which are applied in totally different layer thicknesses) or the influence of over-meticulous clinicians who compensated
So far, the over-simplification (mono-incremental) as well as over-complexity (multi-incremental) of shading systems has tremendously limited the benefit of direct composite restorations.

The ‘natural layering concept’ is then a simple and effective approach to creating highly aesthetic direct restorations which has become a reference in the field of composite restorations.

Figure 3l: Detailed view of the corrected central and lateral incisors, using minimally invasive approach with direct composite.

Figure 3m: Post-operative showing a more harmonious smile configuration and uniform tooth color.

Figure 3n: 2Y view showing no alteration of these partial composite restorations.

Figure 3o and 3p: Anatomical details of the restoration micro-morphology and surface smoothness which proved stable over 2 years of clinical function (Inspiro, EdelweissDR).
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