The goals for temporary restoration in routine crown and bridge treatments are to protect the tooth, provide an occlusal stop, maintain interproximal contact, and ensure gingival health through proper fit and contour (Amsterdam and Fox 1959, Yuodelis and Faucher 1980) all usually for only a few weeks before being discarded. A variety of techniques and materials are used successfully in practices everyday to achieve these goals.

The role of temporisation in complex interdisciplinary care is very different. All temporaries must fit the criteria listed above, but in complex cases they become a critical element in the treatment process and may be in place several months or, in some cases, years (Chiche 1994, Amet and Phinney 1995, Christensen 1996, Donovan and Cho 1999). In addition, the technique of construction, as well as the materials chosen, may be quite different in order to meet longevity and aesthetic requirements. The most common examples of cases in which long-term temporisation is necessary are patients requiring temporaries prior to orthodontics and/or periodontal surgery, following tooth removal, or while transitioning to an implant-supported prosthesis.

As a general rule, it is always safer and easier to temporise once periodontal surgery has healed or orthodontics have been completed, but in specific instances temporisation must be completed first. An obvious example is the presence of old crowns with recurrent caries that cannot be accessed without the removal of the existing crown. In these instances, it is appropriate to remove the crown, clean the tooth, and place a long-term temporary. The patient can then complete whatever periodontal surgery or orthodontics are required.
Clinical

necessary prior to completing the final restoration, ensuring a better final result than if the final restoration was completed prior to surgery or orthodontics.

If, however, the tooth is simply broken down but doesn’t have an existing crown present, it is generally better to perform a build-up using amalgam or composite, have the orthodontics or periodontics completed, and then complete the definitive restoration.

The most common reasons to place temporaries prior to orthodontics or surgery when teeth do not have existing crowns that are failing involve problems in tooth form (Kokich 2001, Vanarsdall 1989, Kokich and Spear 1997). These may be localised developmental problems (e.g., peg-shaped lateral incisors), functional in nature (e.g., severe wear), or the result of developmental problems that effect all the teeth (e.g., amelogenesis imperfecta). If orthodontics or periodontal surgery is contemplated and the tooth form is not correct, improving the shape of the teeth prior to surgery or orthodontics may be beneficial.

Considerations when treating children
In the orthodontic treatment of children, the most common tooth malformations will be developmental in nature (Kotsomitis and Freer 1997, Tahmassebi et al 2003). The general dentist will typically recognise that orthodontics are necessary to treat the patient and refer the patient. The orthodontist then places the brackets on the patient and proceeds with the orthodontic positioning of the malformed teeth to what he or she believes will be the best locations. The restorative dentist then inherits the patient and may be left with a less-than-ideal final result. As a general rule, anytime malformed teeth exist, whether peg-shaped lateral incisors or an entire arch of teeth, it is desirable to correct tooth form to an ideal shape prior to the completion of orthodontics using bonding or, when necessary, temporary crowns. The ideal sequence for treating these patients follows:
1. Obtain a set of mounted models.
2. Cut the teeth free in the model in order to complete
3. If some of the teeth to be moved exhibit poor form, perform a diagnostic wax-up to correct them.
4. Place the corrected teeth and the normal teeth back in the orthodontic set-up (Figure 1).

Both the orthodontist and restorative dentist now have a guide for where treatment is headed. The question then becomes, ‘When will the tooth shape be corrected: before orthodontics or during orthodontics?’ The answer relates to whether there is space currently existing to correct the tooth form. If there is, then it is almost always easier to correct the tooth form prior to bracket placement. If there is insufficient space to correct the shape, then brackets should be placed and space created using orthodontic movement. Once adequate space is created, the brackets can be removed from the teeth that require a shape change, the patient referred back to the restorative dentist, and the teeth temporarily corrected.

The orthodontic set-up and wax-up are used to determine the correct shape for each tooth. Once the tooth shape has been corrected, the patient returns to the orthodontist for replacement of the brackets and archwires. As the orthodontist completes the treatment, they can now move the teeth into the correct positions.
because the form has been corrected. Not having to attempt to hold a malformed tooth in place, but rather being able to close the arch with interproximal contact on all teeth and level the arch with the correct tooth length, makes the treatment much more predictable.

This same concept can be applied to young patients with congenitally missing teeth (Kokich 2001) (e.g., a patient with one congenitally missing lateral incisor and the other lateral peg shaped). Often the orthodontist will attempt to open space for the restoration of the peg-shaped lateral and replacement of the missing lateral during treatment. It is very difficult, however, to create and maintain those spaces equally. It is much easier to complete an orthodontic set-up in advance by waxing the pegged lateral to the correct shape, grinding a denture tooth to the correct size, and placing it in the set-up for the missing lateral. The orthodontist then over-opens both lateral spaces, removes the bracket from the pegged lateral, and sends the patient to the restorative dentist for that tooth to be corrected. The patient then returns to the orthodontist, who replaces the bracket on the newly correctly shaped lateral, as well as on the denture tooth that was used in the set-up, which is now used as a pontic on the archwires. The spaces are now closed orthodontically and an ideal tooth position results.

**Considerations when treating adults**

In orthodontic treatment of adult patients, a common problem regarding tooth form is wear (Kokich and Spear 1997). As in the case of malformed teeth, it is always easier to correct the tooth form prior to the completion of orthodontics. Often, if this is not accomplished, the orthodontist will level the arches, aligning the incisal edges of the worn teeth and leaving the patient with the options of having periodontal crown lengthening or living with short teeth. A far more appropriate treatment is to correct the length of the worn teeth temporarily before or during the orthodontic treatment. This results in level arches with correctly positioned and sized teeth.

Again, the starting point is a set of mounted models, an orthodontic set-up, and a diagnostic wax-up. The worn teeth are cut from the set-up and waxed to normal length. They are then replaced in the set-up and become the guide for treatment. Whether they are lengthened before or during orthodontics depends upon whether space exists to lengthen them prior to orthodontics. If it does, they can be temporarily restored prior to treatment. If not, the orthodontist must first create space, then de-bracket the worn teeth and send the patient to the restorative dentist for temporary restoration. The brackets are then replaced and the orthodontics completed. The need to open space prior to correcting tooth length is common when utilizing orthodontics to intrude severely worn and over-erupted maxillary or mandibular incisors (Figures 2-5).
The most common reasons to place temporaries prior to periodontal surgery relate to the needs for correctly identifying incisal edge position prior to surgery or gaining access to an edentulous site for ridge augmentation or implant placement when an existing bridge is present. Whenever anterior crown lengthening for aesthetic reasons is planned, it is critical that the incisal edge position be identified prior to surgery (Spear 1991). This is important because, ultimately, the goal of surgery is to position the tissue to create a pleasing tooth size relative to the correct incisal edge (Rufenacht 1990, Chiche and Pinault 1994).

There are several methods for identifying the correct incisal edge position pre-surgically, including the use of an overlay matrix, altering the contour of the existing teeth using reshaping or bonding, and preparing the teeth and placing temporaries (Spear 1999). The patient who almost always requires tooth preparation and temporaries in order to correctly identify the incisal edge position is the one with severe wear and a need for the addition of significant length to the incisal edge of the existing teeth. Because there are such significant functional concerns in altering the incisal edge position of these patients, using a simple removable overlay to identify the esthetic position of the incisal edge and gingiva is risky. Instead, it is much more predictable to lengthen the teeth temporarily to evaluate the aesthetic and functional success of the new incisal edge position prior to any crown lengthening. If the teeth are amenable to direct bonding to alter incisal edge position, this is often the best approach to temporisation. If, on the other hand, the teeth are severely worn and bonding is not realistic, it will probably be necessary to prepare them and place temporaries to evaluate the change. Once it becomes clear that the new incisal edge position is acceptable both aesthetically and functionally, the periodontal surgery can be completed to correct gingival levels and, therefore, crown length (Figures 6 through 10).

The other time temporisation is necessary prior to surgery is when an existing fixed prosthesis is present and the patient requires ridge augmentation or desires an implant. In these instances, the existing fixed restoration
must be removed and a temporary placed. The only difference between this temporary and any other that might be made is the length of time required for it to function and, if it is a multiple pontic span, the need for reinforcement.

**Temporary techniques and materials**

Once the decision is made to temporise a patient as part of orthodontic treatment or prior to periodontal surgery, it becomes necessary to decide how to proceed. Several options exist, and the decision of which to use depends upon how long the temporaries must function and what the final restoration will be (e.g., bonded veneers or full crowns).

As a rule, if the tooth can ultimately be restored with direct composite or a porcelain veneer, I prefer to temporise using direct bonding. I say temporise with direct composite because, in this instance, the patient will undergo either orthodontics or periodontal surgery and, after either one, it is highly likely that the restorations will require modifications or remakes to look more ideal. Therefore, I use a technique that can produce the desired tooth form and create an acceptable aesthetic result, but which takes very little time.

The key is to use a very accurate diagnostic wax-up of the desired tooth shape (Magne et al 1996, Magne and Douglas 1999). An alginate impression is then made of the wax-up, and the impression poured in stone. This stone replica of the diagnostic wax-up is used to make a clear, pressure formed 1.5mm matrix. The matrix is tried in the mouth, and any areas on the teeth that should be modified in order to ensure that the matrix fits are adjusted. All the teeth are then etched, adhesive is applied, and the matrix is loaded with composite and seated. The composite is then cured through the matrix, the matrix peeled off and, using finishing burs, the embrasures refined. A saw can be used to separate the teeth, which were basically created as 1 block of composite. This technique has allowed me to bond multiple teeth to a predictable form very quickly. If your composite is stiff, warming it in hot water can facilitate a better flow when seating the matrix.

When bonding is to be performed prior to surgery or orthodontics, this technique is easy because the tooth position won’t change, so a current model can be used to perform the diagnostic wax-up. If some orthodontics will be necessary to create space prior to bonding, a new problem arises. The wax-up cannot be completed until the tooth position has been altered.

In such cases, I request that the orthodontist start treatment and correct the tooth position as best as possible prior to my temporisation. Once the teeth have moved and space exists for temporisation, the orthodontist removes the archwires, and I make an alginate impression of the patient’s new tooth position; the brackets are still on the teeth, but not the archwires. I then take the model, grind the brackets off the teeth to be bonded, perform the wax-up, and create the clear matrix. Again, this matrix is used to rapidly direct-bond multiple teeth to the correct shape simultaneously (Figures 11 -16).

There are multiple advantages to using a direct composite material for temporaries. It is durable, and because the teeth aren’t prepared, the risk of sensitivity, leakage, or caries that may occur when using long-term temporaries is greatly reduced.

If it will be necessary to prepare the teeth and place full-crown temporaries as part of the long-term treatment, several variables must be addressed. These include material selection, whether or not to reinforce the temporary, and what type of cement should be used.

The standard day-to-day temporary materials are typically not good choices for more than a few months of provisionalisation. I use three categories of temporary materials for long-term use. For a full arch of full-crown restorations, or occasionally long-span fixed partial dentures, I will use a laboratory processed composite resin shell (e.g., multiple different laboratory resins available from different companies) that will then be relined in the mouth using a self-curing composite temporary material. For partial-coverage or full-coverage temporaries—but not a full arch—that require at least six months or more of function, or when maintenance of aesthetics is critical over the life of the temporary, I use a light-cured temporary material. I also choose this material whenever the patient will wear the temporary during orthodontics. Finally, for anything that must last less than six months—other than a full arch of full crowns—a chemically-cured composite temporary material is used.

I typically reinforce only when pontics are present, and generally only when there are two or more pontics and the temporary must last more than one to two months (Hazelton et al 1995). I use fibre for reinforcement, and I prefer to make the reinforced temporary indirectly on a model, starting with a wax-up and clear matrix prior to preparing the teeth.

To reinforce temporary restorations, begin by preparing the teeth and making an impression of the preparations. Pour the impression with one-third die stone and two-thirds mounting stone, so it sets quickly. Then ensure that
the matrix fits the model. The fibre can now be placed across the preparations on the model and tacked into place with flowable composite. Once the fibre is positioned, try the matrix back on to verify clearance between the fibre and the matrix. If the clearance is acceptable, remove the matrix and reinforce the fibre by adding more flowable composite across its length. Finally, lubricate all areas of the model with petroleum jelly or foil substitute, keeping all lubricant off the fibre. Then, load the matrix with the desired temporary material and seat it over the preparations and the fibre. After curing, it can be trimmed and seated in the patient’s mouth (Figures 17-21).

Finally, it is necessary to determine what to use for long-term provisional cementation. I use two cements: either reinforced zinc oxide-eugenol cement or resin-reinforced glass ionomer luting cement. The choice depends upon whether or not the patient is undergoing orthodontics. If the patient is undergoing one or two years of orthodontics, I use the resin-reinforced glass ionomer cement, which eliminates—to a great extent—the risk of caries, leakage, sensitivity, or loosening. However, the temporary will have to be cut off and a new one made following the completion of orthodontics. For all other long-term temporaries, I use the reinforced zinc oxide-eugenol cement, which seals well, rarely loosens, virtually eliminates sensitivity, but which can be removed. If the final restoration will be adhesively bonded, you can pumice or, better yet, air abrade the tooth to clean the preparation. When using the reinforced zinc oxide-eugenol cement, however, it is necessary to check the patient every eight to 12 weeks to ensure that nothing has loosened.

The final issue concerning long-term temporisation involves modifying temporaries that have been in the mouth (Gegauff and Rosenstiel 1987) (e.g., after crown lengthening, when it is necessary to re-prepare the tooth, dropping the margin more apically). Rather than making an entirely new set of temporaries, I prefer to reline the existing ones. The key is adding to them so that the addition bonds and blends with the old material. The following steps have been effective for relining and re-

Figure 18: Fibre reinforcement is tacked to the preparations with flowable composite and reinforced, after which the model is fabricated.

Figure 19: The matrix, loaded with temporary material, is seated over the fibre and preparations.

Figure 20: View of the trimmed, polished, and reinforced temporary.

Figure 21: Final view of the reinforced temporary in the mouth.
using older temporaries.
1. Remove the temporary and sandblast out any old cement.
2. Use an acrylic bur to remove a few tenths of a millimetre of material from the inside; bevel the outside of the temporary several millimetres up from the cervical margin.
3. Re-sandblast the entire temporary.
4. Cover the temporary for 10 minutes with Naval Jelly (i.e., 32% phosphoric acid), available from a hardware store.
5. Ultrasonic for 5 minutes in water.
6. Brush on an adhesive and reline with a composite-based temporary material or flowable composite.
7. Cure and trim.

**Conclusion**
I have not described all of the reasons for placing long-term temporaries, nor all of the ways their use may be sequenced in conjunction with orthodontics or periodontal surgery. Rather, I have described the most common reasons I have encountered in 25 years of practicing aesthetics and fixed prosthodontics for their placement. While there are several techniques and materials that can be used for long-term provisionalisation, those described herein have been effective in eliminating the frustration and maintenance that can occur when incorporating long-term temporaries into interdisciplinary treatment.
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