Successful anaesthesia in mandibular molar teeth is challenging under normal pulpal conditions but particularly so when the patient presents with a symptomatic acutely inflamed pulp. This will not surprise any operating dentist who faces the challenge of trying to operate on a patient who cannot tolerate the procedures due to lack of profound anaesthesia. It is important to realise that the inferior alveolar nerve block (IANB) has deficiencies in providing the desired level of pulpal anaesthesia in normal pulps and could be considered not fit for purpose in acutely inflamed pulp situation (Vreeland et al, 1989; Wali et al, 1988).

It is well-established that complete pulpal anaesthesia is not achieved 100% of the time in normal pulps and that lip numbness does not confirm pulpal anaesthesia. In fact, confirmed 100% lip numbness after IANB in inflamed pulps reported only 55% successful pulpal anaesthesia. There are multiple theories on the reasons for failure and the reality is that a combination of factors are involved (Nusstein et al, 1998; Cohen et al, 1993).

There are many theories on what causes anaesthetic failure in acutely inflamed pulps, such as increased blood flow in inflamed tissues and lowered PH locally interfering with LA solution activity (Hargreaves, Keiser, 2002). Accessory innervation from mylohyoid nerve has also been mentioned (Vandermeulen, 2000). The presence of inflammatory mediators such as substance P and calcitonin neuropeptides also reduces the effect of local anaesthetic (Rood et al, 1981). Nerve sprouting also occurs in inflamed tissues increasing the volume of nerve tissue to be anaesthetised (Hargreaves, 2001). The central core theory (de Jong, 1997; Strichartz, 1976) states that the outer nerves of the inferior alveolar bundle supply the molar teeth, whereas the nerves for the anterior teeth lie more deeply, making it more difficult for the anaesthetic to diffuse through and provide an adequate block.

Central nervous system sensitisation can also occur where inflammatory conditions have existed for some time, as in slow onset pulpitis. It is safe to say that some of the reasons for failure are often related to physiological factors and not just anatomy with decreased excitability thresholds on nerves compounded by an increased anxiety in those patients in pain (Cohen et al, 1993; Hargreaves et al, 2002). This can result in an innocuous stimulus presenting as painful in a patient who has been subject to central sensitisation due to long-term exposure to discomfort.

Patients presenting with irreversible pulpitis are often aware of symptoms for some time (weeks or months before) and may relate the discomfort to a restorative procedure such as composite filling placement or crown placement. Symptoms gradually become more severe with longer lasting painful episodes occurring spontaneously and usually acute response to heat application relieved by cold and paroxysmal in nature.
Patients may have had difficulty sleeping and are usually fractious and fragile. Dental confidence is low and so every effort must be explored to reassure the patient that we are aware and understanding of their situation and that we have the experience and techniques to deal with their symptoms comfortably. Naturally, when IANB is successful – ie, lip numbness established but pulpal anaesthesia still not achieved – we need to take positive action rather than trying to proceed with treatment on a very anxious patient.

Preoperative oral administration of a non-steroidal anaesthetic, 800mg ibuprofen for example, can help improve the efficacy of local anaesthetic in some cases (Ianiro et al, 2007). Some patients may also benefit from oral diazepam – typically 10-15mg taken the night before appointment and one hour before treatment.
Changing the anaesthetic type or the block injection technique (Gow-Gates, Akinosi) does not improve the chances of success and giving another inferior alveolar dental block (ID) will help only if the initial block has failed. Increasing the volume of local anaesthetic will not improve the pulpal anaesthetic effect. It may, in fact, have the opposite effect due to tachyphylaxis where the anaesthetic reaction becomes increasingly weaker due to ‘ion trapping’ of the anaesthetic in inflammation-induced acidic tissue (Gow-Gates, 1973; Claffey et al, 2004; Mikesell et al, 2005; Nusstein et al, 2002; Goldberg et al, 2008).

**Maxillary molars**
Patients presenting with acute pulptic maxillary molars respond well to buccal and palatal infiltration and profound anaesthesia is readily achieved successfully. This confirms...
that, if you can place the anaesthetic in close proximity to the root apex, the outcome is positive and anaesthesia will be successful.

Anaesthetic choice
The anaesthetic of choice is Lignospan 2% 1:000,000 for IANB injections. There are studies supporting the use of articaine with 1:100000 adrenaline administered by buccal infiltration as an alternative to inferior alveolar nerve block in normal pulps, however, in symptomatic teeth there was no advantage in using articaine and there are some dangers of paraesthesia (>20 times) in its uses as IANB technique (Claffey et al, 2004; Kanaa et al, 2009). The lingual nerve is more frequently damaged than the inferior alveolar in these cases due to its location (Figure 3). Thankfully, 90% of cases fully recover within two months, but this is an avoidable risk.

Intraligamentary injections
Periodontal ligament injection has been shown to be successful in achieving anaesthesia in 75% of cases in initial application and up to 96% success in a second injection.

Periodontal ligament injection is essentially a route into the cancellous spaces, so it is, in effect, an intraosseous injection. Different kits are available but the needle should be placed in the gingival crevice with the bevel facing the root surface and the injection under pressure for 10 seconds at each corner of tooth. The rate of onset is fast but the duration is low; application can be problematic and uncomfortable for patients as well as stressful for the operator (Cohen et al, 1993; Walton, Abbott, 1981; Smith et al, 1983).

Intraosseous injection
Intraosseous injection (Figures 6 and 7) deliver an anaesthetic solution directly into the cancellous bone distal to the affected tooth. Stabident (Figure 8) and X-tip (Figure 9) systems are well-established intraosseous systems that deliver anaesthetic solutions directly into the cancellous bone via a predrilled pathway.

The Stabident system provides a perforation bur with a separate needle that works well, providing the access hole is readily located, which is not always the case, necessitating a second perforation with associated increased anxiety.

The X-tip system solves this issue by leaving a guide sleeve in situ to guide the needle access (Figures 9 and 10), but this is a bulky system that requires practise to perfect. Difficulty separating the drill from the guide sleeve can be an issue and the large diameter guide sleeve can generate higher temperatures during perforation of thicker, denser cortical bone, resulting in postoperative discomfort (Parente et al, 1998; Parente et al, 1998; Nusstein et al, 1998).

Quicksleeper 4
The Quicksleeper 4 (Dental Hi-tech) (Figures 11-15) is a motorised needle system that can perforate the cortical plate and administer the local anaesthetic through that perforation in a single procedure, which greatly reduces the margin for errors experienced with Stabident and X-tip systems. Nusstein (1998) and Rood (1981) found that intraosseous injections of lignocaine 1.100000 adrenaline were successful more than 90% of the time and after achieving complete pulpal anaesthesia once a successful IANB injection is confirmed.

Onset is almost immediate and duration has been reported to last approximately 45 minutes, which is more than adequate to access the pulpal space and complete biomechanical preparation. The big advantage of the Quicksleeper system is the single action of penetration, injection and withdrawal; the efficiency and success of this procedure is invaluable in these cases.

Intraosseous ligamental pathway via PDL
My preference is a combination of intraligamental and intraosseous techniques facilitated by the Lightsleeper S4 (Dental Hi-tec) handpiece with the 16mm motorised needle via the periodontal ligament in one continuous step.

The needle is placed in the gingival crevice and anaesthetic is pumped slowly before activating the motor to rotate the needle in five second intervals, passing through the periodontal ligament into the cancellous bone around the apices where the anaesthetic is deposited to where it is most needed. This route follows a natural pathway, offering the least resistance to penetrating the cancellous bone through the PDL, and avoids the problems posed by root anatomy, root proximity and cortical bone density.

The injection site can be adapted to the mesial, distal, furcation or lingual aspects, depending on the most advantageous straightline approach for the needle determined by the tooth anatomy and position in the arch (Figure 14). This is administered routinely in acutely pulpitic mandibular cases in my practice, giving close to 100% results, enabling the endodontic procedure to be completed comfortably for both patient and operator.

Onset of anaesthesia is almost immediate and this avoids the shortcomings and difficulties of Stabident, which include locating the perforation holes, the bulkiness of the X-tip technique in limited space, difficulty perforating thick cortical
bone in posterior mandibular teeth and avoiding the root anatomy (Figure 15). The optimal injection site in lower molars is dependent on the root anatomy with distal or furcation approach covering most situations.

Intraosseous injections with 2% lignocaine with 1:100,000 adrenaline can result in a transient increase in heart rate in 70% of patients, and patients should be forewarned to expect this. They should also be reassured that this will pass and have the positive benefits highlighted to them, to ensure acceptance and compliance (Stabile et al, 2000).

**Intrapulpal injection**

Despite the apparent success of the IANB supplemented by periodontal ligament or intraosseous injection (more than 90% complete pulpal anaesthesia), it is not uncommon for patients with acute long-standing pulpitis to experience some discomfort as pulp fibres can still spark, despite apparent total anaesthesia present. In these cases, smooth turbine bur action can usually be tolerated until pulp chamber access is established. Anaesthetic can then be injected under pressure, allowing further access. In these cases, a fine gauge needle can be inserted into the canal orifice and anaesthetic again injected under pressure before instrumenting the individual canals. It is a dynamic situation and requires constant monitoring and communication.

A pin head pulpal exposure can then be created and injected under pressure. Be committed and maintain constant communication, reassuring the patient that all is being done to get the desired result and facilitating biomechanical preparation (Birchfield, Rosenberg, 1975; VanGheluwe, Walton, 1997).

**Summary**

Achieving profound pulpal anaesthesia is the cornerstone of successful endodontics and this poses challenges in teeth
with irreversible pulps, particularly mandibular molars. Failure has been attributed to poor technique or aberrant anatomy while the reality is that the IANB is not fit for purpose in these situations and supplemental techniques are required.

Intraligamental and intrasosseous techniques are very successful in achieving profound pulpal anaesthesia and should be considered as part of the routine approach. Stabident and X-tip have been around for some time and are well proven, however the Qwik Sleeper 4 motorised needle system, applied through the ligamental pathway, is a game changer, benefiting both the patient and operator through predictability and simplicity of use. It is an invaluable tool which should be a part of routine anaesthetic protocol in acutely inflamed mandibular situations.
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