

ZIRCONIA ABUTMENT FOR THE SINGLE TOOTH IMPLANT

TONY AHERNE AND STUART AHERNE

Treatment protocols have changed and improved with the advent of new technology. One such material that is the subject of much interest is zirconium oxide (ZrO₂). It is a highly biocompatible ceramic material providing fracture strength properties that allow application in all areas of the oral cavity (Piconi C, Maccauro G, 1999; Kucey BK, Fraser DC, 2000). It also enables light transmission through the critical marginal area, preventing grey discolouration of the surrounding tissue.

Recent studies, both in vivo and in vitro, have demonstrated that zirconia ceramic surfaces accumulate fewer bacteria than commercially pure titanium (Gamborena I, Blatz MB, 2006; Rimondini L et al, 2002). In addition it has been reported that the soft and hard tissue response to Zr has been favourable (Saadoun AP, Touati B, 2007a).

Immediate implant placement after extraction is now seen as standard procedure. Biologic considerations play a major part in treatment planning of such cases. Preservation of the existing topography of hard and soft tissues is critical for aesthetic success. There is a growing emphasis towards minimally invasive surgery, with optimally designed implants and abutments (Van Dooren E, 2007). Frequent screwing and unscrewing of abutments leads to tissue loss and should be avoided whenever possible (Saadoun AP, Touati B, 2007b). Considerations of risk factors related to thin biotypes have to be borne in mind.

In the following case reports immediate implants have been placed after careful extraction and minimally invasive techniques. Final zirconia abutments have been prepared extra-orally on fixture mounts and then attached to the implants. The abutments and implants have not been early loaded. The desire for new concepts in abutment design is timely, allowing the placement of the final transmucosal element of Zr abutments at the time of surgery in order to encourage a hyperplastic tissue response.

Case one

A 38-year-old patient attended with a fractured right central incisor (Figure 1). The I1 was in need of a replacement crown. A shell temporary bridge was manufactured with a pontic

*Tony Aherne, BDS NUI DRD RCSED MDS, Private Practice, Cork, Ireland
Stuart Aherne, BDS Uwcm, Private Practice, Cork, Ireland*



Figure 1.



Figure 2a.



Figure 2b.



Figure 3.



Figure 4.



Figure 5.



Figure 7.



Figure 6a.



Figure 6b.



Figure 8.



Figure 9.



Figure 10.



Figure 11.

facing (Figures 2a and 2b). The existing crown in the I1 was removed (Figure 3). The I1 was removed carefully and flapless implant placement was carried out (Figure 4). The implant was placed on the palatal wall of the socket 3mm below the margin (Figure 5). A ZiReal (3i) ZR abutment was also placed immediately. There was a tear in the delicate marginal gingivae, which was associated with the root fracture (Figure 6a). At stage two recovery there was a gingival hyperplasia associated with the ZiReal abutment (Figure 6b). A connective tissue graft was carried out at stage two using the tunnel technique to

augment the soft tissue (Figure 7). At this stage the tissues were custom guided with temporary crowns (Figures 8 and 9). The final all-ceramic crowns were fitted with FujiCem and showed good integration with the tissues (Figures 10 and 11).

Case two

A 32-year-old female smoker presented with a fractured root under a left central incisor post crown (Figure 12). The prognosis for the tooth was hopeless and a replacement with an osseointegrated implant was decided on. The right central



Figure 12.



Figure 13.



Figure 14.



Figure 15.



Figure 16.



Figure 17.



Figure 18.



Figure 19.



Figure 20.



Figure 21.

abutment (Figure 18). Final preparation was carried out on the Zr abutment and discoloured adjacent tooth (Figure 19). The discoloured tooth substrate was opaqued to help prevent shadowing. A Procera alumina coping was made up for the Zr abutment and a tissue impression was taken (Figure 20). Shade taking was also carried out. Two Procera crowns were made up (Figure 21) and fitted. Soft tissue integration was complete (Figure 22).

incisor had a post crown present in need of replacement (Figure 13). A shell temporary bridge was made up with a retainer on the I1 and a pontic facing on the I1. Flapless implant surgery was performed. After performing an osteotomy, a 3i Certain PREVAIL implant with a platform switch was placed. The implant was placed 3mm under the margin and in the palatal aspect of the socket (Figures 14 - 16). A 3i ZiReal ZR abutment was tried in and then adjusted extra-orally on a fixture mount (Figure 17). A temporary bridge was fitted and healing was allowed for 12 weeks. At stage two the temporary bridge was removed, revealing a hyperplastic gingival response to the Zr




Figure 22.



Figure 23.

Case three

A middle-aged woman attended with a fractured right central incisor (Figure 23). An immediate implant was placed after extraction, together with a Zr abutment and a temporary bridge fitted with a retainer on I1, plus a hollow pontic on the 11 overlaying but not loading the abutment (Figures 24 to 31). Healing was allowed for 12 weeks; at review there was a positive tissue response around the Zr abutment (Figure 30). At



Figure 24.



Figure 25.



Figure 26.




Figure 27.



Figure 28.



Figure 29.



Figure 30.



Figure 31.

12 weeks the abutment was loaded. A shell temporary bridge was relined (Figure 30). Final prosthesis shows good tissue integration (Figure 32).

Conclusion

The successful replacement of a single missing anterior tooth with an implant is a significant challenge, mainly due to the high aesthetic demands as well as biological and functional considerations. In a healing site after extraction there are varying degrees of resorption and soft tissue changes, often leading to hard and soft tissue loss.

The avoidance or reduction of soft tissue loss in moderate to thin biotypes is a major consideration, in particular using noninvasive techniques (Saadoun AP, Touati B, 2007b). Evidence suggests soft tissue stability and thickness are essential for adhesion and good long-term tissue response. This will result in an improved seal, which will protect bone from the external environment, resulting in a decrease in marginal bone resorption and long-term tissue stability (Van Dooren E, 2007).

Biocompatible materials are essential to attain good soft tissue adhesion. Titanium has been the main choice for many years. However, with the advent of improved technology with high strength ceramics, more favourable aesthetic results are being achieved, in particular around the critical marginal zone.

Much attention is currently focused on zirconium. High biocompatibility with reduced bacterial adhesion and high flexural strength make this material suitable for close contact with surrounding soft tissues (Holst S et al, 2006). This material is used in fabricating implants, abutments and as a core material for all-ceramic restorations. In vitro and in vivo studies have shown positive tissue responses to this material (Gamborena I, Blatz MB, 2006; Rimondini L et al, 2002).

In the cases presented, final Zr abutments were put on three immediately placed implants after extraction. The abutments were not immediately loaded. Temporary bridges were used as a provisional replacement of the missing teeth. In all three cases there was a hyperplastic tissue response, which has not been noted by the authors when using titanium abutments. One of



Figure 32.

the cases was that of a smoker. Hyperplastic tissue response has been reported by the use of hollow pontics on an edentulous ridge (Tal H et al, 2004). However, the pontic design used in cases one and two was a pontic facing that allowed access for oral hygiene procedures and were closely monitored.

The cases presented in this article allowed the placement of the final abutment without early loading because of a favourable combination of circumstances that permitted provisionalisation using temporary bridges, preventing the pontic touching the final abutment. In the vast majority of cases such favourable conditions do not exist. Despite this, an area suggested for future research may be the designing of systems that allow the final Zr transmucosal element of an abutment to be placed at the time of implant surgery as a routine procedure.

References

1. Gamborena I, Blatz MB (2006) A clinical guide to predictable esthetics with zirconium oxide ceramic restorations. *QDT* 29: 11-23
2. Glauser R, Sailer I, Wohlwend A, Studer S, Schibli M, Scharer P (2004) Experimental zirconia abutments for implant-supported single-tooth restorations in aesthetically demanding regions: four year results of a prospective clinical study. *Int J Prosthodont* 17(3): 285-290
3. Holst S, Bergler M, Steger E, Blatz MB, Wichmann M (2006) The application of zirconium oxide frameworks for implant superstructures. *QDT* 29: 103-112
4. Kucey BK, Fraser DC (2000) The Procera abutment – the fifth generation abutment for dental implants. *J Can Dent Assoc* 66: 445-449
5. Piconi C, Maccauro G (1999) Zirconia as a ceramic biomaterial. *Biomaterials* 20: 1-25
6. Rimondini L, Cerroni L, Carrassi A, Torricelli P (2002) Bacterial colonization of zirconia ceramic surfaces: an in vitro and in vivo study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 17:793-798
7. Saadoun AP, Touati B (2007a) Soft tissue recession around implants: is it still unavoidable? – Part I. *Pract Proced Aesthet*

CLINICAL

Dent 19(1): 55-62

8. Saadoun AP, Touati B (2007b) Soft tissue recession around implants: Is it still unavoidable? – Part II. Pract Proced Aesthet Dent 19(2): 81-87

9. Tal H, Bichacho N, Imber S, Kornowski Y, Nemcovsky CE (2004) Rotated palatal flaps: a functional and aesthetic solution in edentulous sites. Pract Proced Aesthet Dent 16(8): 599-606; quiz 608

10. Van Dooren E (2007) Using zirconia in aesthetic implant restorations. QDT 30: 119-128

11. Freedman G, Antell DE, Conrad K.– Facial rehabilitation: maximizing aesthetics through a coordinated team approach. Dent Today. 1997 Apr;16(4):66, 68, 70-3.

12. Larsson C, Vult von Steyern P, Sunzel B, Nilner K. 'All-ceramic two- to five-unit implant-supported reconstructions. A randomized, prospective clinical trial' Swed Dent J. 2006;30(2):45-53.

13. Potiket N, Chiche G, Finger IM.– In vitro fracture strength of teeth restored with different all-ceramic crown systems. J

Prosthet Dent. 2004 Nov;92(5):491-5.

14. Jovanovic, SA: Advanced implant therapy 2004; Ridge augmentation; Implant success with bone augmentation and simultaneous implant placement. Simion, Jovanovic et al COIR 2001

15. Jovanovic, SA: Advanced implant therapy 2004; Ridge augmentation; Bone formation at the site of bone augmentation also Schenk et al ; JOMI 1994

16. Zhao BD, Li NY, Xu JS, Bu LX, Wang YH. 'Maxillary sinus lifting and simultaneously placement of implants from the top of alveoli' Zhonghua Kou Qiang Yi Xue Za Zhi. 2003 Jul;38(4):251-3. Chinese.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Master Dental Technician Harald Heindl of Aesthetic Dental Creations, Mill Creek, Washington, USA, for cases one and three, as well as Nicky Cox of Precision Dental Studios, Rivermead, Berkshire, UK, for the second case.