Penfield and Rasmussen, over a half century ago, demonstrated that almost half of both sensory and motor aspects of the brain are devoted to the ‘dental area.’ So, approximately half of the programming of the computer-brain, that runs the body, comes from the dental system (Fonder 1980, 1988). When confused stimuli are accepted as fact by the brain, it will in turn be sending out faulty information/feedback which will affect all systems throughout the entire body. With half truths, the brain is reporting that all is well when in fact all is very wrong. Gradually diseases appear throughout many systems of the body and when the malocclusion is eliminated we can see the reversal of these ‘chronic’ health problems.

Normal occlusion may therefore be defined as ‘that mandibulomaxillary relationship which permits all the structures directly or indirectly dependent on that relationship to function in a state of equilibrium’ (Storberg).

Temperomandibular dysfunction and systemic distress
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Could it be that dysfunction of the jaw joints result in conditions such as scoliosis, migraine, pelvic asymmetry, pituitary and endocrine dysfunction? Could it be that dentists and orthodontists unwittingly contribute to the onset or perpetuation of these symptoms and others such as digestion problems, disturbed vision, loss of balance and tinnitus?

If we understood the cause and symptomology of TMD and how to treat it could we remove the need, for a subset of our patients, to depend on anti-depressant drug therapies, help MS sufferers with their symptoms, even delay the progression of the disease, and in extreme cases help patients who have considered suicide?

TMD itself is still thought by many to be a psychological condition of the patient rather than a very real physical, structural and mechanical problem which can disturbingly affect the muscular, skeletal, endocrine and nervous systems.

The more enlightened clinicians may realise that these complex joints and their surrounding nerves and musculature can create distressing facial pain, headaches and neck pain, but do we need to look further, as to how this condition can influence whole body systems and mechanics?

The source

Before looking at the developmental causes of TMD it is worth considering the fact that in evolutionary terms our nervous systems are programmed to bring the back teeth together in order to feed and ultimately survive.

In the case of a ‘problem bite’ whatever the cause, we will see the nervous system develop a habitual occlusion via neuromuscular and postural compensations to make the best of what we have and attempt to allow mastication to occur. The important thing to remember is that the teeth are dominant, the muscles and joints will accommodate.
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interconnecting fascial sheath system.

This is especially true with the masticatory/jaw system and the atlas and axis vertebrae in the neck (i.e. C1/C2 vertebrae). The mandible and C1/C2 have a unique relationship to each other.

The atlanto-occipital joint is where the skull meets the neck via C1, the joint surface of the occipital condyles (which sit on C1) have been shown to be an exact replica of the mandibular condyle as demonstrated on 50 human skulls (Thomas 2009) thus suggesting an integral role for jaw mechanics in the development of head posture.

A student of engineering Casey Guzay proposed the Quadrant Theorem (Guzay 1980), which inextricably linked the roles of the mandible with cervical vertebrae, Guzay showed that the muscle controlled pivotal axis of the mandible occurs at the dens between the atlas and axis vertebrae (Maehara et al 1982, Guzay 1985). As a result, the mandibular/jaw misalignment and dysfunction we see in our patients creates a disturbing posturing of C1/C2 (Fonder 1988) and it is these vertebrae which are intimately related to spinal and head posture and neurological well-being.

To consider how malposturing of these key vertebrae further affect spinal and head posture we must look at the relationship between C1/C2 (mandibular axis) and the dura mater.

The dura mater is a thick and dense inelastic membrane that envelopes the brain and medulla spinalis. The dura of the brain lines the interior of the skull adhering closely to the inner surfaces of the bones, the spinal dura mater is a loose sheath around the medulla spinalis. It is attached to the circumference of the foramen magnum and to the frontal and dorsal aspects of the atlas and axis (C1/C2); it is also connected to the posterior longitudinal ligament, it descends to the back of the coccyx where it blends with the periostium (Henry 1943, Smith 1983). The malposturing of C1 and C2, through the dental malocclusion and the resultant mandibular dysfunctioning, torques the dura mater because...
of the frontal and dorsal attachments to C1, C2 and C3. Torquing of the dura can cause scoliosis, cervical hypolordosis, thoracic hyperkyphosis, excessive lumbar lordosis, rotation of the pelvis causing uneven leg length, uneven shoulder height, etc. It also aids in creating head tilt through the dura’s attachment around the foramen magnum. The cranial bones, because of their multiple attachments to the dura can also be malpostured through this torquing stress of the dura mater (Fonder 1988).

Also of interest are the neural connections between jaw and spinal mechanics.

When the teeth occlude, periodontal afferents (pressure receptors in the bone supporting the teeth) feed information to the main sensory Spinal tract and mesencephalic nucleus of the Trigeminal nerve (the largest of the 12 paired cranial nerves). Communication then occurs in the fore/mid-brain, brainstem and with the spinal nerves. So in essence the ways the jaws come together directly influences the spinal system and thereby posture and muscular alignment.

By way of illustrating this relationship a study by D’Attilio et al (2005) found that by introducing a deliberate interference into the dental occlusion of a rat produced a disturbing disruption of whole body posture, as confirmed by x-ray, and that later removal of this interference resulted in normalisation of the spine (D’Attilio et al 2005) this does make one wonder, as clinicians, how many times have we unwittingly caused or contributed to mandibular torque and the resultant sequelae. The previous experiment can be explained by the torque created by the artificially created stressful malocclusion of the teeth and resultant imbalance of the powerful masticatory complex of muscles, with a reciprocal imbalance of the infra-mandibular complex of neck and shoulder musculature. (In the human 136 muscles are responsible for mandibular positioning)

This disturbs the postural relationships of the structures of the head, neck, shoulders, spine and pelvis (Whatmore 1974), creating a powerful and dominant condition that constantly produces physiological distress until a physiologically balanced maxillomandibular (jaw) relationship is achieved. It is very important to treat the jaw relationship first as it has been showen that teeth are the dominant force (i.e. we must bring the teeth together to feed and swallow), the muscles and joints will accommodate and posturally compensate in a potentially deleterious manner to allow this to occur. When these 136 muscles are allowed to assume a more physiologically balanced relationship by the correcting of the malocclusion, the head assumes an upright posture, the shoulders level off, the pelvic rotation ceases allowing the leg length to equalise, and overall bodily posture dramatically normalises (Fonder 1986). This was further supported by Sakaguchi et al (2007) when they showed via a series of tests involving use of a heel lift that changing mandibular position affected body posture.

By way of illustrating this relationship a study by D’Attilio et al (2005) found that by introducing a deliberate interference into the dental occlusion of a rat produced a disturbing disruption of whole body posture, as confirmed by x-ray, and that later removal of this interference resulted in normalisation of the spine (D’Attilio et al 2005) this does make one wonder, as clinicians, how many times have we unwittingly caused or contributed to mandibular torque and the resultant sequelae. The previous experiment can be explained by the torque created by the artificially created stressful malocclusion of the teeth and resultant imbalance of the powerful masticatory complex of muscles, with a reciprocal imbalance of the infra-mandibular complex of neck and shoulder musculature. (In the human 136 muscles are responsible for mandibular positioning)

This disturbs the postural relationships of the structures of the head, neck, shoulders, spine and pelvis (Whatmore 1974), creating a powerful and dominant condition that constantly produces physiological distress until a physiologically balanced maxillomandibular (jaw) relationship is achieved. It is very important to treat the jaw relationship first as it has been showen that teeth are the dominant force (i.e. we must bring the teeth together to feed and swallow), the muscles and joints will accommodate and posturally compensate in a potentially deleterious manner to allow this to occur. When these 136 muscles are allowed to assume a more physiologically balanced relationship by the correcting of the malocclusion, the head assumes an upright posture, the shoulders level off, the pelvic rotation ceases allowing the leg length to equalise, and overall bodily posture dramatically normalises (Fonder 1986). This was further supported by Sakaguchi et al (2007) when they showed via a series of tests involving use of a heel lift that changing mandibular position affected body posture.

In 2008 Bergamini et al similarly discovered the influence of a neuromuscularly balanced jaw relationship on groups of paired muscles. Surface EMG readings showed that when the jaw relationship was neuromuscularly balanced, resting muscle voltage was significantly reduced and paired muscle alignment improved for sternocleidomastoids, erector spinae, and soleus (calf) muscles - again showing how jaw
relationships can affect whole body mechanics as distant from the occlusion as the calves. Amazingly the changes artificially created in the rat study by D’Atilio regularly occur to many of us, subclinically, from an early age from such causes as tooth extraction, teeth fillings/crowns, previous orthodontic work, adenoid/tonsil problems as children, trauma, environmental allergies, and can be seen via symptoms such as headache, clicking jaw joints, muscular tenderness, neck/shoulder pain, ear congestion/ringing, tingling fingers/hands, postural complications etc.

The CranioMandibularSacral system (CMS)

Dentistry, the craniosacral system, and functional systems of the body are profoundly intertwined in an ‘elegant ecology.’ To present a discussion of one without discussing the other’s influences would be an artificial separation of these topics. Any change in dental anatomy and mechanics will have a significant influence on the craniosacral system and other functional systems in the body.

This ‘elegant ecology’ exists throughout the body, affecting anatomy and function. Its influence is so extensive that it is difficult to imagine any part of the body that is not affected. Let us look at some of these relationships:

The sphenoid bone is considered the central bone of the craniosacral system. Because of its unique and complex anatomy, it articulates with almost every other bone in the cranium. We can imagine the sphenoid being like a central cog in a wristwatch. If that central cog is out of balance, we would imagine that it can effect the balance of all of the other cogs in the watch, or in this case, all of the other cranial bones in the head.

Located directly on the sphenoid is the pituitary gland. It sits on the sphenoid in a formation that looks like a ‘Turkish saddle.’ In fact, the Latin name for that structure is called the ‘sellae turcica.’ The pituitary exerts profound influence over the endocrine system and, as such, directly influences the body’s physiology and health. If the sphenoid is out of position (‘lesioned’) due to misalignment of the hard palate, pituitary function will suffer, and the body’s physiological systems will be affected. The pituitary depends on the normal alignment of the cranial bones for its proper functioning.

A ‘faulted’ or ‘lesioned’ sphenoid caused by misaligned dental anatomy can result in a myriad of physiological, and neurological symptoms. It can be seen in patients with a deep overbite or malocclusion that body chemistry, blood and endocrine balance is affected (Shield 1998). Our aim therefore has to be to normalise and re-align the dental anatomy and effect positive feedback systems to improve overall muscular function, posture, alignment and health.
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