Endodontic retreatment of a maxillary first molar
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Introduction
Mr DS, a 36-year-old photographer, was referred from his general dental practitioner (GDP) to the local restorative department for endodontic retreatment of a previously root filled maxillary first molar. This report aims to discuss the examination, treatment planning and endodontic management of this tooth, which present several complicating features.

History
Presenting complaint – persistent dull pain arising from the upper right area of the mouth.

History of complaint – Mr DS complained of a well localised, moderate, but constant dull ache arising from the right maxillary first molar (UR6). This started nine months ago following an acute episode that was managed by his GDP with antibiotics and is exacerbated only by masticatory forces with no sensitivity to thermal stimuli.

The pain, which fortunately does not disturb his sleep, was being managed by over-the-counter analgesics.

He recalls that the tooth in question had previously undergone root canal therapy approximately nine years ago as a result of extensive caries. This was allegedly completed over a single visit, with no recollection of rubber dam use and, upon completion, was coronally restored with a direct restoration.

Relevant dental and social history – Mr DS regularly attends his GDP and has adopted an excellent oral hygiene regime, a low cariogenic diet, has never smoked and presents little to no anxiety or parafunction.

Relevant medical history – no relevant medical history or known drug allergies.

Examination – an extraoral assessment concluded a right submandibular lymphadenopathy.

Intraoral assessment
- Soft tissues – nothing abnormal detected
- Hard tissues – a moderately restored dentition with no evidence of caries (Figure 1)
- Periodontal – BPE all 0s with very good oral hygiene and no mobility, recession or furcations
- Occlusal – Class I incisors and group function on lateral excursions with no interferences.

Figure 1: Dental charting.
a previous but infected root canal filling (Abbott, Yu, 2007).

Prognosis and treatment plan
Ng et al (2011) reported the presence of a preoperative periapical lesion considerably reduced periapical healing and is a significant prognostic factor, which is consistent with landmark Toronto studies (Ng, Mann, Gulabivala, 2011a; Farzaneh, Abithol, Friedman, 2004). Additionally, it was found that the size of the apical lesion also had a statistical significance on treatment outcomes, with those less than 5mm healing more favourably as opposed to those that were larger (Ng, Mann, Gulabivala, 2011a).

Unfortunately, these features were all present in this case, reducing overall prognosis. However, the tooth also possessed several features that have been shown to improve treatment outcomes, such as the presence of a technically inadequate root filling, substantial natural tooth structure and adequate periodontal health with the absence of any perforations, sinus tracts or apically extruded root filling material (Ng, Mann, Gulabivala, 2011a; Farzaneh, Abithol, Friedman, 2004).

The preoperative prognosis, therefore, was deemed slightly more favourably as ‘guarded’ and conveyed to the patient, as well as all relevant options, which included:
- Leave
- Orthograde retreatment
- Referral to specialist endodontist
- Extract and accept space
- Extract and fill space.

Mr DS still wished to retain the tooth and agreed upon the following treatment plan:
Identifying canals

Once access was gained, the pulpal floor was inspected for existing injuries and uninstrumented canals. Four canals were identified, three had been previously obturated and the MB2 was left uninstrumented. Estimated working lengths for each canal were established using the preoperative radiograph and then a glide path was established in the MB2 using ISO size 8-20 K-files and a Protaper Universal Sx file with lubricant (Figure 5; Table 1).

Gutta-percha removal

The estimated length of gutta-percha (GP) was established from the preoperative radiograph. Gross GP was initially removed by a combination of Gates Glidden burs and Hedstrom files set to the length of GP. Fine remnants were then retrieved by introducing solvents into each orifice and using large paper points in a ‘wicking’ motion until all canals were patent and no further GP residue was visible on the terminal third of the paper points. Using an Electronic Apex Locator (EAL) and an ISO size 10 K-file, zero length readings for each canal were established (Table 1). For each reading, 0.5mm was subtracted to establish the true working length

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Canal</th>
<th>Estimated working lengths</th>
<th>Zero length reading</th>
<th>True working length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mesiobuccal one</td>
<td>19mm to the MB cusp tip</td>
<td>20mm to the MB cusp tip</td>
<td>19.5mm to the MB cusp tip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesiobuccal two</td>
<td>19mm to the MB cusp tip</td>
<td>20mm to the MB cusp tip</td>
<td>19.5mm to the MB cusp tip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distobuccal</td>
<td>22mm to the DB cusp tip</td>
<td>21mm to the DB cusp tip</td>
<td>20.5mm to the DB cusp tip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palatal</td>
<td>25mm to the MP cusp tip</td>
<td>25mm to the MP cusp tip</td>
<td>24.5mm to the MP cusp tip</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Estimated working length, zero length readings and true working lengths of all four UR6 canals
the critical component to a successful outcome. Following shaping, the tooth was temporised with non-setting calcium hydroxide paste and a distinctly coloured glass ionomer temporary restoration.

**Chemomechanical debridement**

All canals were shaped to length using the Protaper Universal Rotary system with the mesiobuccal one, mesiobuccal two and the distobuccal canals shaped to an ISO 25 F2 and the palatal shaped to an ISO 30 F3. The varying taper of these files allowed the author to monitor shaping technique throughout the procedure. If carried out correctly, the shaper files should present with dentinal debris in the coronal aspect of the instrument, whereas this should be located in the apical region of finisher files (Figure 7). All shaping was conducted in the presence of copious irrigation (sodium hypochlorite 5.5%) to aid removal of debris from the canal, lubricate files to prevent separation and to chemically disinfect the root canal system, which is

**Second appointment**

**Trial cone radiograph**

After regaining access and single tooth isolation, canals were dried using paper points followed and the respective GP cones were inserted to assess for tug back at the measured true working lengths. A trial cone periapical radiograph was then taken to confirm the shaping was completed to a satisfactory standard and to the correct length prior to obturation (Figure 8).

**Irrigation activation**

Regardless of its many favourable properties, sodium

![Figure 6: True working length radiograph.](image)

![Figure 7: Gutta-percha removed and all canals shaped.](image)

![Figure 8: Trial gutta-percha radiograph.](image)

![Figure 9: Obturated canals.](image)
Obturation

A warm vertical condensation technique was used to obturate the canals after the canals were thoroughly dried. Initially, the GP was coated with a calcium hydroxide based sealer (AH+). When it was then inserted into the canal to length, the System B tip was activated to 5mm short of the true working length. At this length, the tip was deactivated and the excess coronal GP removed on withdrawal. The remainder of the canal was then obturated with thermoplastic GP in 2mm increments using the Obtura system (Figure 9).

Coronal seal

A definitive restoration was immediately placed after obturation, as Chugal et al (2007) reported those of a temporary nature result in higher failure rates. Initially, 3mm of flowable composite was used to seal the orifices of the canals and then a direct hybrid composite restoration was used to restore the lost tooth substance (Figure 10). Although there was no mesial marginal ridge, a substantial amount of natural tooth structure was preserved and the remaining cusps retained a critical thickness of 2.5mm. Additionally, the tooth was not at the terminus of the arch and was occlusally protected against excessive axial forces during excursive movements. These are all features that have been shown to improve the healing and long-term survival of the tooth and so a conservative direct composite coronal restoration was deemed appropriate (Polesel, 2011; Ng, Mann, Gulabivala, 2011b). Following this, a postoperative periapical radiograph was taken to assess the quality of the endodontic root filling (Figure 11). This showed that the GP was obturated to the correct length; there were no voids, extrusions or overfills. Additionally, the radiolucency does not appear to have increased in size.

hypochlorite is still unable to dissolve the inorganic component of the smear layer (American Association of Endodontists, 2011). This mineral film, which covers instrumented walls, can harbour residual microorganisms and impede the ability of sodium hypochlorite to penetrate deep into the dentine tubules, reducing its overall antimicrobial effect (Violich, Chandler, 2010). Therefore, to achieve a more thorough disinfection, a one-minute penultimate rinse of 17% EDTA was carried out upon completion of all mechanical instrumentation. This combination is a recognised technique and has shown to significantly improve the treatment outcomes of teeth undergoing endodontic retreatment [Ng, Mann, Gulabivala, 2011a]. With the smear layer now effectively removed, a final rinse of 5.25% sodium hypochlorite was administered. For each canal, a corresponding GP cone was inserted for manual dynamic activation of the irrigant before they were thoroughly dried and then finally obturated. Throughout the procedure, any change in solution was preceded by a saline flush to neutralise the effects of the former irrigant.

Figure 10: Direct composite coronal restoration.

Figure 11: Postoperative radiograph.

Figure 12: 12-month post-operative radiograph.
Review

At six and 12 months, Mr DS presented with no symptoms and had stated that there had not been any postoperative acute episodes. The tooth was now functional as he was able to eat on this side without feeling any pain. Clinically, the coronal restoration was intact, and there was no evidence of active infection. Radiographic examination revealed the apical lesion had significantly reduced in size (Figure 12). Due to the absence of any symptoms and radiographic evidence of healing, the case was deemed as being successful and Mr DS was discharged back to his GDP and advised to be re-referred should any issues occur.

Discussion

If the aim of root canal therapy is to resolve infection, then it could be assumed that the strict definition of endodontic success is to achieve complete resolution of apical periodontitis. This would mean that even in the absence of clinical symptoms and the presence of a comparatively reduced radiographic apical lesion, this case would still be considered a failure. However, it is well recognised that healing is a dynamic process that can take between two to five years to occur and an appropriate follow-up period is required to truly determine if success has been achieved (Eriksen, Ørstavik, Kerekes, 1988; Byström et al, 1987; Ørstavik, 1996). This is echoed in the quality guidelines, which recommend an annual follow-up period for up to four years, at which only then can failure be determined (European Society of Endodontology, 2006). Therefore, at this stage, describing outcomes dichotomously as a success or failure may not be representative of the dynamic healing process that is occurring.

However, one such classification system that does take this into account is the one set out by Friedman and Mor (2004) where endodontic outcomes are described as being either ‘healed’, ‘healing’ or ‘diseased’. The most appropriate term for this case would be ‘healing’ due to the absence of clinical symptoms and the significant reduction in the mesiobuccal periapical radiolucency at the 12-month review. This restoration of function would translate to an overall ‘good’ outcome and will require further review to assess if the well corticated apical lesion has completely healed, which would then become an ‘excellent’ outcome. Technically, the outcome meets all of the desirable criteria outlined in the quality guidelines and would be considered an ‘excellent’ outcome in this context (European Society of Endodontology, 2006).

Conclusion

Overall, this case encompassed a combination of several complicating factors that challenged the author’s endodontic clinical skills and knowledge. The author has learnt to be insightful and critical of his own work, employ a systematic strategy to manage complications, and the value of using the literature to overcome challenges and develop as a clinician.

Award-winning case

Satnam Singh Virdee won the Young Dentist Endodontic Award 2016 for this case. Find out more at bit.ly/2jiuSLd.
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